CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL

REPORT TO: CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SCRUTINY

COMMITTEE

27 July 2010 Date of Meeting: Report of:

Borough Solicitor

Subject/Title: Work Programme update

1.0 **Report Summary**

1.1 To review items in the 2009/2010 Work Programme, to consider new items listed in the schedule attached, together with any other items suggested by Committee Members.

2.0 Recommendations

2.1 That the work programme be received and noted.

3.0 **Reasons for Recommendations**

3.1 It is good practice to agree and review the Work Programme to enable effective management of the Committee's business.

4.0 **Wards Affected**

- 4.1 ΑII
- 5.0 **Local Ward Members**
- 5.1 Not applicable.

Policy Implications including - Climate change 6.0 - Health

6.1 Not known at this stage.

7.0 **Financial Implications for Transition Costs**

- None identified at the moment. 7.1
- 8.0 **Legal Implications (Authorised by the Borough Solicitor)**
- 8.1 None.

9.0 **Risk Management**

9.1 There are no identifiable risks.

10.0 Background and Options

- 10.1 The Committee approved its work programme at its meeting held on 1 June 2010 and revised it slightly at its previous meeting held on 29 June 2010. By the time the Committee meets, the work programme will have been shared with colleagues in cabinet at an informal briefing meeting held on 19 July 2010, and any changes suggested by Cabinet Members will be reported to this meeting.
- 10.4 The schedule attached, has been updated in line with the Committees recommendations on 29 June. Following this meeting the document will be updated so that all the appropriate targets will be included within the schedule.
- 10.5 In reviewing the work programme, Members must have regard to the general criteria which should be applied to all potential items when considering whether any Scrutiny activity is appropriate. Matters should be assessed against the following criteria:
 - Does the issue fall within a corporate priority
 - Is the issue of key interest to the public
 - Does the matter relate to a poor or declining performing service for which there is no obvious explanation
 - Is there a pattern of budgetary overspends
 - Is it a matter raised by external audit management letters and or audit reports?
 - Is there a high level of dissatisfaction with the service
- 10.6 If during the assessment process any of the following emerge, then the topic should be rejected:
 - The topic is already being addressed elsewhere
 - The matter is subjudice
 - Scrutiny cannot add value or is unlikely to be able to conclude an investigation within the specified timescale

10.7

11.0 Access to Information

The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Name: Mark Nedderman Designation: Senior Scrutiny Officer

Tel No: 01270 686459 Email: mark.nedderma mark.nedderman@cheshireeast.gov.uk